

JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

TAIWAN DICKERSON

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff

(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address)

Tara L. Patterson, Esquire
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
30 E. Butler Pike
Ambler, PA 19002
(215) 540-8888

DEFENDANTS

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE
LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☒ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

| CONTRACT | TORTS | FORFEITURE/PENALTY | BANKRUPTCY | OTHER STATUTES |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise | PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability | <input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws <input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck <input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs. <input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other | <input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395f) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 | <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes |
| REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property | CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights | PRISONER PETITIONS <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition | LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions | |

V. ORIGIN

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding
☐ 2 Removed from State Court
☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened
☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify)
☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation
☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
15 U.S.C. SECTION 1692

Brief description of cause:
Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S)

(See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

Explanation:

3-22-11

Tara L. Patterson
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

DATE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 1027 Roosevelt Street, Malver, AR 72104

Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, PA 19044-2308

Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: _____
(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)

Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?

(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))

Yes ☐ No ☒

Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?

Yes ☐ No ☒

RELATED CASE, IF ANY:

Case Number: _____ Judge: _____ Date Terminated: _____

Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:

1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
Yes ☐ No ☒
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
Yes ☐ No ☒
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
Yes ☐ No ☒
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?
Yes ☐ No ☒

CIVIL: (Place ☒ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)

A. Federal Question Cases:

1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts
2. ☐ FELA
3. ☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury
4. ☐ Antitrust
5. ☐ Patent
6. ☐ Labor-Management Relations
7. ☐ Civil Rights
8. ☐ Habeas Corpus
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases
10. ☐ Social Security Review Cases
11. ☒ All other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S.C. § 1692
(Please specify)

B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:

1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. ☐ Airplane Personal Injury
3. ☐ Assault, Defamation
4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury
5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. ☐ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. ☐ Products Liability
8. ☐ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. ☐ All other Diversity Cases
(Please specify)

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION

(Check Appropriate Category)

I, Tara L. Patterson, counsel of record do hereby certify:

☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;

☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

DATE: 3-22-11

Tara L. Patterson
Attorney-at-Law

88343
Attorney I.D.#

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: 3-22-11

Tara L. Patterson
Attorney-at-Law

88343
Attorney I.D.#

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------|
| Taiwan Dickerson | : | CIVIL ACTION |
| v. | : | |
| NCO Financial Systems, Inc. | : | NO. |

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

- (a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ()
- (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()
- (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()
- (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ()
- (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ()
- (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (X)

| | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <u>3-22-11</u> | <u>Tara L. Patterson</u> | <u>Taiwan Dickerson</u> |
| Date | Attorney-at-law | Attorney for |
| <u>215-540-8888</u> | <u>877-788-2864</u> | <u>tpatterson@creditlaw.com</u> |
| Telephone | FAX Number | E-Mail Address |

1
2 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
3 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

4 TAIWAN DICKERSON,)

5 Plaintiff)

6 v.)

7 **Case No.:**

8 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,)

9 Defendant)

**COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
JURY TRIAL**

10 **(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)**

11 **COMPLAINT**

12
13 TAIWAN DICKERSON ("Plaintiff"), by his attorneys, KIMMEL &
14 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS,
15 INC., ("Defendant"):

16
17 **INTRODUCTION**

18 1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection
19 Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* ("FDCPA").

20
21 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

22 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d),
23 which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate
24 United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28

1 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising
2 under the laws of the United States.

3 3. Defendant conducts business and has an office in the Commonwealth
4 of Pennsylvania, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.

5 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

6 5. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and
7 2202.
8

9
10 **PARTIES**

11 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Malvern, Arkansas.

12 7. Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See
13 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a), and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D.
14 Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).

15 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate
16 headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044-
17 2308.
18

19 9. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §
20 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff when seeking to collect a consumer
21 debt of another person.
22
23
24
25

10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

11. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") is a comprehensive statute, which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.

12. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices considered contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. The substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt collector may not use any false,

1 deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection
2 of any debt.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a “debt collector may not use unfair
3 or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.” 15 U.S.C. §
4 1692f. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous
5 collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or
6 unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses
7 any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with
8 the collection of a debt.
9

11 13. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that “[t]here
12 is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection
13 practices by many debt collectors,” which “contribute to the number of personal
14 bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of
15 individual privacy.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing
16 laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to
17 protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.
18

20 14. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer
21 debts by debt collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to “eliminate
22 abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors
23 who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively
24
25

1 disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against
2 debt collection abuses.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

3
4 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

5 15. Defendant and others it retained constantly and continuously placed
6 harassing and abusive collection calls, continuing through January 2011, to
7 Plaintiff seeking and demanding payments for alleged consumer debt of other
8 person.
9

10 16. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt Defendant was seeking
11 to collect arose out of transactions primarily for personal, family, or household
12 purposes.
13

14 17. Defendant and others it retained placed calls to Plaintiff's home
15 telephone.
16

17 18. Defendant and others it retained informed Plaintiff that Defendant was
18 seeking to collect a debt, which was owed another individual.

19 19. Plaintiff spoke with Defendant and others it retained on numerous
20 occasions to advise that this was not his debt, that the phone number and address
21 on the account was not his, and not to call him anymore.
22

23 20. Despite this, Defendant continued to contact Plaintiff regarding the
24 alleged debt.

25 21. Defendant threatened to harm Plaintiff's credit report even though

1 Defendant had been informed that Plaintiff is not the consumer Defendant is
2 seeking to collect from.

3
4 22. The repetitive calls to Plaintiff were disturbing, harassing, an invasion
5 of privacy and caused Plaintiff to not answer his phone.

6 23. Defendant failed to investigate or verify contact information prior to
7 and after calling Plaintiff.

8
9 24. Defendant failed to update its records to avoid further harassment of
10 Plaintiff.

11 25. Defendant conducted its collection activities in ways that were
12 factually misrepresented and in violation of the FDCPA.

14 CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

15 26. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. Taylor v. Perrin, Landry,
16 deLaunay & Durand, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes
17 strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector
18 to be entitled to damages." Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996);
19 see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding
20 unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA);
21 Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).

22
23
24 27. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed
25 liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235

1 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts
2 interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d
3 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA)
4 15 U.S.C §1601 *et seq.*, is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in
5 favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).

7 28. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least
8 sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168
9 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3rd Cir. 1991); Swanson v.
10 Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA
11 was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public - that vast multitude
12 which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a
13 false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced
14 does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less
15 experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual
16 purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting,
17 against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for
18 bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at
19 1318.

COUNT I
DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE
FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

29. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
- a. Defendant violated of the FDCPA generally;
 - b. Defendant violated §1692b(2) of the FDCPA by informing Plaintiff that another individual owed a debt;
 - c. Defendant violated §1692(b)(3) of the FDCPA by calling Plaintiff more than once in connection for the collection of a debt for another individual;
 - d. Defendant violated §1692c(b) of the FDCPA by communicating with Plaintiff about a debt allegedly owed by another individual;
 - e. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by harassing Plaintiff in connection with the collection of an alleged debt;
 - f. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring and engaging Plaintiff in telephone conversations repeatedly and continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, and harass Plaintiff; and
 - g. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means with Plaintiff to collect or attempt to collect a debt.

1 30. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable
2 to Plaintiff in the sum of Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and
3 attorney's fees and costs
4

5 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, TAIWAN DICKERSON, respectfully requests
6 judgment be entered against Defendant, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., for
7 the following:
8

- 9 a. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the Fair
10 Debt Collection Practices Act,
- 11 b. Statutory damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices
12 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k,
- 13 c. Actual damages,
- 14 d. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt
15 Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k
16 e. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, TAIWAN DICKERSON, demands
a jury trial in this case.

DATED: 3-22-11

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By: Tara L. Patterson
Tara L. Patterson
Attorney ID # 88343
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
30 E. Butler Pike
Ambler, PA 19002
Phone: (215) 540-8888
Fax: 877-788-2864
Email: tpatterson@creditlaw.com